Critical Thinking Scoring Rubric

Rating Criteria	NA	Rating Scale					
Kating Criteria	INA.	Eme	erging	Dev	eloping	WHIELE	
Summarized problem, question, or		Does not attempt to or fasummarize accurately.		Summarizes issue, thou incorrect or confused, are missing or glossed	Nuances and key details	Clearly identific subsidiary, emb the issue. Ident essential to anal	
issue	İ	1 .	2	3	4	5	
Considers context and assumptions		Approach to the issue is centric terms. Does not Analysis is grounded in acknowledgement of ow recognize context and un implications.	relate to other contexts. absolutes, with little n biases. Does not	includes some outside	in a limited way. Analysis verification, but primarily rovides some consideration	Analyzes the iss scope and conte of audience. Id Questions assur dimensions und	
		1	2	3	4	5	
Communicates own perspective, hypothesis, or position.		Position is clearly adopt consideration. Addresse argument, failing to clar one's own. Fails to just hypothesis is unclear or	es a single view of the ify the position relative to ify own opinion or	own position without a	gh inconsistently. Justifies ddressing other views or Position is generally clear,	Position demon Appropriately in drawing support information not Justifies own vit contrary interpredemonstrates so	
		1	2	3	4	5	
Analyzes supporting data and evidence			ion without question or out justification. Does not and opinion. Evidence is	of evidence is selective	neet information need. Use e, discerns fact from opinion s. Appropriate evidence is	Evidence of sou Examines evide and relevance. of presentation ideas, subordina impact.	
		1	2	3	4	5	
Uses other perspectives and positions		Deals with a single perspective and fails to discuss others' perspective. Adopts a single idea with little question. Alternatives are not integrated. Ideas are obvious. Avoids discomforting ideas. Treats other positions superficially. No evidence of self-assessment.		Begins to relate alternative views. Rough integration of multiple viewpoints. Ideas are investigated in a limited way. May overstate conflict or dismiss alternative views hastily. Analysis of other views mostly accurate. Some evidence of self-assessment.		Addresses diver variety of source analogies are us justifies own vi others. Analysi accurate and re- reflection and s	
		1	2	3	4	5	
Assesses conclusions, implications, and		Fails to identify conclus consequences, or conclu summary. Conclusions attribute conclusion to e	sion is a simplistic are absolute, and may	Conclusions consider e extending beyond a sin implications that may i issues. Presents conclu- related to consequence, include vague reference	mpact other people or usions as only loosely s. Implications may	Identifies and d implications, ar context, assump Qualifies own a considered and developed and	
consequences		1	2	3	4	5	

Communicates		In many places, langua			In general, language do	es not interfere with	Language clear
effectively		Grammar, syntax, or o			communication.		communicates i
		repeated. Little evider	ice of proofreadii	ng. Style is	Errors are not distractin		nuanced and elc
		inconsistent or inappro			there may be some prob	lems with more difficult	Errors are minii
9		Work is unfocused and			aspects of style and voice	ce.	audience.
		logical connection of i	deas. Format is a	bsent,	Basic organization is ap	parent; transitions connect	Organization is
		inconsistent or distract			ideas, although they ma	y be mechanical. Format	ideas enhance p
		Few sources are cited of	or used correctly.		is appropriate although	at times inconsistent.	of appropriate f
	100				Most sources are cited a	and used correctly.	other componer
						•	All sources are
a a					=		demonstrating t
					2		legal, and socia
13							of the informati
· ·		F1			ii ii		- "
		1	2		3	4	-5

©2006 - Center for Teaching, Learning, & Technology at Washington State University

Problem Solving Skills Rubric

Student	 Course	Dat	e

Intended Outcome: the student will use inquiry, quantitative, & analytical reasoning to solve problems.

Performance Area	Rating = 4	Rating = 3	Rating = 2	Rating = 1	Score
Defining the Problem	Student states the problem clearly and identifies underlying issues.	Student adequately defines the problem.	Student fails to define the problem adequately.	Student does not identify the problem.	J
Developing a Plan to Solve the Problem	Student develops a clear and concise plan to solve the problem, with alternative strategies, and follows the plan to conclusion.	Student develops an adequate plan and follows it to conclusion.	Student develops a marginal plan, and does not follow it to conclusion.	Student does not develop a coherent plan to solve the problem.	
Collecting and Analyzing Information	Student collects information from multiple sources and analyzes the information indepth.	Student collects adequate information and performs basic analyses.	Student collects inadequate information to perform meaningful analyses.	Student collects no viable information.	
Interpreting Findings and Solving the Problem	Student provides a logical interpretation of the findings and clearly solves the problem, offering alternative solutions.	Student provides an adequate interpretation of the findings and solves the problem, but fails to provide alternatives.	Student provides an inadequate interpretation of the findings and does not derive a logical solution to the problem.	Student does not interpret the findings/reach a conclusion.	
		L		Total	
			Ove	erall Score = Total/4	

GRADING RUBRIC FOR A RESEARCH PAPER—ANY DISCIPLINE

CATEGORY	4	3	2	I
Introduction/ Thesis 	*exceptional introduction that grabs interest of reader and states topic. **thesis is exceptionally clear, arguable, well- developed, and a definitive statement.	*proficient introduction that is interesting and states topic. **thesis is clear and arguable statement of position.	*basic introduction that states topic but lacks interest. **thesis is somewhat clear and arguable.	*weak or no introduction of topic. **paper's purpose is unclear/thesis is weak or missing.
Quality of Information/ Evidence ——	*paper is exceptionally researched, extremely detailed, and historically accurate. **information clearly relates to the thesis.	*information relates to the main topic. **paper is well- researched in detail and from a variety of sources.	*information relates to the main topic, few details and/or examples are given. **shows a limited variety of sources.	*information has little or nothing to do with the thesis. **information has weak or no connection to the thesis.
Support of Thesis/Analysis ——	*exceptionally critical, relevant and consistent connections made between evidence and thesis. **excellent analysis.	*consistent connections made between evidence and thesis **good analysis.	*some connections made between evidence and thesis. **some analysis.	*limited or no connections made between evidence and thesis. **lack of analysis.
Organization/ Development of Thesis ——	*exceptionally clear, logical, mature, and thorough development of thesis with excellent transitions between and within paragraphs.	*clear and logical order that supports thesis with good transitions between and within paragraphs.	*somewhat clear and logical development with basic transitions between and within paragraphs.	*lacks development of ideas with weak or no transitions between and within paragraphs.
Conclusion ——	*excellent summary of topic with concluding ideas that impact reader. **introduces no new information.	*good summary of topic with clear concluding ideas. **introduces no new information.	*basic summary of topic with some final concluding ideas. **introduces no new information.	*lack of summary of topic.
Style/Voice ——	*style and voice are not only appropriate to the given audience and purpose, but also show originality and creativity. **word choice is specific, purposeful, dynamic and varied. ***sentences are clear, active (subject-verbobject), and to the point.	*style and voice appropriate to the given audience and purpose. **word choice is specific and purposeful, and somewhat varied throughout. ***sentences are mostly clear, active (SVO), and to the point.	*style and voice somewhat appropriate to given audience and purpose. **word choice is often unspecific, generic, redundant, and clichéd. ***sentences are somewhat unclear; excessive use of passive voice.	*style and voice inappropriate or do not address given audience, purpose, etc. **word choice is excessively redundant, clichéd, and unspecific. ***sentences are very unclear.
Grammar/Usage/ Mechanics 	*control of grammar, usage, and mechanics. **almost entirely free of spelling, punctuation, and grammatical errors.	*may contain few spelling, punctuation, and grammar errors.	*contains several spelling, punctuation, and grammar errors which detract from the paper's readability.	*so many spelling, punctuation, and grammar errors that the paper cannot be understood.

Citation Format	*conforms to MLA rules for formatting and citation of sources are perfect.	*conforms to MLA rules for formatting and citation of sources with minor exceptions.	*frequent errors in MLA format.	*lack of MLA format/numerous errors.
Works Cited/Bibliography	*entries entirely correct as to MLA format.	*entries mostly correct as to MLA format.	*frequent errors in MLA format.	*lack of MLA format/numerous errors.

Additional Comments: